

COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS OF TYPE - (I) AND (II) ON QUASI- GAUGE SPACE IN CONSIDERATION OF COMMON FIXED POINT

V.K. GUPTA¹, GARIMA SAXENA²

¹Head of Department of Mathematics, Govt. Madhav Science College, Ujjain (M.P.) India

²Research scholar, Department of Mathematics, Govt. Madhav Science College, Ujjain (M.P.) India

$$(ii) \quad p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we first formulate the definitions of compatible mappings of Type- (I) and (II) in the settings of Quasi- Gauge Space the purpose of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorems for four mappings by using the condition of compatible mappings of Type- (I) and (II) in Quasi-Gauge Space. Which generalizes earlier results of Rao and Murti [4]. Our result has a number of applications in mathematical sciences.

KEYWORDS: Common fixed point, compatible maps, compatible mappings of type (I) and (II), Quasi-gauge space.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pathak, Chang and Cho [3] proved fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P). Rao and Murthy [4] extended results on common fixed points of self maps by replacing the domain “complete metric space” with “Quasi-gauge space”. But in both theorems continuity of any mapping was the necessary condition for the existence of the fixed point. I generalize earlier results of Rao and Murthy [4] and show that common fixed point theorems for four mappings of compatible mappings of Type- (I) and (II) in Quasi-Gauge Space. by using a S.Sharma, B.Deshpande and R.Pathak, [6] Compatible Mappings of Type- (I) and (II) On Intuitionistic Menger Spaces In Consideration Of Common Fixed Point Our result has a number of applications in mathematical sciences.

Definition 1.1 A Quasi-pseudo-metric on a set X is a non negative real valued function p on $X \times X$ such that

$$(i) \quad p(x, x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Definition 1.2 A Quasi-gauge structure for a topological space (X, T) is a family P of quasi-pseudo-metrics on X such that T has as a sub-base the family

$$\{B(x, p, \varepsilon) : x \in X, p \in P, \varepsilon > 0\},$$

where $B(x, p, \varepsilon)$ is the set $\{y \in X : p(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. If a topological space has a Quasi-gauge structure, it is called a quasi-gauge space.

Definition 1.3 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Quasi-gauge space (X, P) is said to be P -Cauchy, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in P$ there is an integer k such that $p(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n \geq k$.

Definition 1.4 A Quasi-gauge space (X, P) is sequentially complete if and only if every P -Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X . We now propose the following characterization. Let (X, P) be a Quasi-gauge space X is a T_0 Space if and only if $p(x, y) = 0$, for all p in P implies $x = y$.

Definition 1.5 Let A, S be mappings from a Quasi Gauge space. Then the pair (A, S) is compatible of type (I) if for all $t > 0$. Letting $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SSx_n = Sz$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$p(Sz, z) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(ASx_n, z)$$

Definition 1.6 Let A, S be mappings from a Quasi Gauge space. Then the pair (A, S) is compatible of type (II) if and only if (S, A) compatible of type (I).

Lemma 1.1 Suppose that $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing and upper semi-continuous from the right. If $\psi(t) < t$ for every $t > 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Rao and Murty [4] proved the following.

Theorem 2.1 Let A, B, S and T be self maps on a left(right) sequentially complete Quasi-gauge T_0 space (X,P) such that

- (i) (A, S), (B, T) are weakly compatible pairs of maps with $T(X) \subseteq A(X); S(X) \subseteq B(X)$;
- (ii) A and B are continuous;
- (iii) $\max\{p^2(Sx, Ty), p^2(Ty, Sx)\} \leq \vartheta\{p(Ax, Sx)p(By, Ty), p(Ax, Ty)p(By, Sx), p(Ax, SSx)p(Ax, Ty), p(By, Sx)p(By, Ty), p(By, Sx)p(Ax, Sx), p(By, Ty) p(Ax, Ty)\}$;

for all $x, y \in X$ and for all p in P , where $\vartheta : [0, \infty)^6 \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ satisfies the following

- (iv) ϑ is non-decreasing and upper semi-continuous in each coordinate variable and for each $t > 0$

$$\Psi(t)=\max\{\vartheta(t,0,2t,0,0,2t),\vartheta(t,0,0,2t,2t,0),\vartheta(0,t,0,0,0,0),\vartheta(0,0,0,0,0,t),\vartheta(0,0,0,0,t,0)\} < t;$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2.2 Let A, B, S and T be self maps on a left(right) sequentially complete Quasi-gauge T_0 space (X, P) with condition (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.1 such that

- (i) (S, A), (A, S), (B, T) and (T, B) are weakly compatible pairs of maps with $T(X) \subseteq A(X); S(X) \subseteq B(X)$;
- (ii) One of A, B, S and T is continuous:

Then the same conclusion of Theorem 2.1 holds.

We prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 without assuming that any function is continuous and proof a theorem of finite number of mapping (increasing mappings).

III. Main results

We prove the following:

Theorem 3.1 Let A, B, S and T be self maps on a left(right) sequentially complete Quasi-gauge T_0 Space (X, P) such that

(3.1.1) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible of type (II) and A or B is continuous,

(3.1.2) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible of type (I) and S or T is continuous,

$$T(X) \subseteq A(X), S(X) \subseteq B(X) ;$$

$$\max\{p^2(Sx, Ty), p^2(Ty, Sx)\} \leq \vartheta\{p(Ax, Sx)p(By, Ty), p(Ax, Ty)p(By, Sx), p(Ax, Sx)p(Ax, Ty), p(By, Sx)p(By, Ty), p(By, Sx)p(Ax, Sx), p(By, Ty)p(Ax, Ty)\};$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for all p in P , where $\vartheta : [0, \infty)^6 \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ satisfies the following:

(3.1.3) ϑ is non-decreasing and upper semi-continuous in each coordinate variable and for each $t > 0$:

$$\Psi(t) = \max\{\vartheta(t,0,2t,0,0,2t), \vartheta(t,0,0,2t,2t,0), \vartheta(0,t,0,0,0,0), \vartheta(0,0,0,0,0,t), \vartheta(0,0,0,0,t,0)\} < t.$$

then the mappings A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. since (3.1.1) holds we can choose x_1, x_2 in X such that $Bx_1 = Sx_0$ and $Ax_2 = Tx_1$ in general we can choose x_{2n+1} and x_{2n+2} in X such that

$$(3.1.4) \quad y_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We denote $d_n = p(y_n, y_{n+1})$ and $e_n = p(y_{n+1}, y_n)$; now applying (3.1.2) we get

$$\max\{d_{2n+2}^2, e_{2n+2}^2\} = \max\{p^2(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}), p^2(Tx_{2n+3}, Sx_{2n+2})\}$$

$$\leq \vartheta\{p(Ax_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+2})p(Bx_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}), p(Ax_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3})p(Bx_{2n+3}, Sx_{2n+2}), p(Ax_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+2})p(Ax_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}), p(Bx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+2})p(Bx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}), p(Bx_{2n+3}, Sx_{2n+2}) p(Ax_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+1}), p(Bx_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}) p(Ax_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3})\};$$

$$= \vartheta\{p(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})p(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}), p(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+3})p(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), p(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})p(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+3}), p(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2})p(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}), p(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2})p(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), p(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3})p(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+3})\}$$

$$\leq \emptyset \{ d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+2}, 0, d_{2n+1}(d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+2}), 0, 0, d_{2n+2} (d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+2}) \}$$

(3.1.5) If $d_{2n+2} > d_{2n+1}$ then

$$(3.1.6) \quad \max \{ d_{2n+2}^2, e_{2n+2}^2 \} \leq \emptyset \{ d_{2n+2}^2, 0, 2d_{2n+2}^2, 0, 0, 2d_{2n+2}^2 \} < d_{2n+2}^2.$$

by (3.1.3) a contradiction; hence $d_{2n+2} \leq d_{2n+1}$.

Similarly we get

$$(3.1.7) \quad d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}.$$

By (3.1.5) and (3.1.6)

$$(3.1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \max \{ d_{2n+2}^2, e_{2n+2}^2 \} &\leq \emptyset \{ d_{2n+1}^2, 0, 2d_{2n+1}^2, 0, 0, 2d_{2n+1}^2 \} \leq \Psi(d_{2n+1}^2) \\ &= \Psi \{ P^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have,

$$(3.1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \max \{ d_{2n+1}^2, e_{2n+1}^2 \} &\leq \emptyset \{ d_{2n}^2, 0, 0, 2d_{2n}^2, 2d_{2n}^2, 0 \}. \\ &\leq \Psi \{ P^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(3.1.10) \quad d_{2n}^2 = P^2(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \Psi \{ P^2(y_{n+1}, y_n) \} \leq \dots \leq \Psi^{n-1} \{ P^2(y_1, y_2) \}$$

and

$$(3.1.11) \quad e_n^2 = P^2(y_{n+1}, y_n) \leq \Psi \{ P^2(y_{n-1}, y_n) \} \leq \dots \leq \Psi^{n-1} \{ P^2(y_1, y_2) \}$$

Hence by Lemma 1.1 and from (3.1.10) and (3.1.11) we obtain

$$(3.1.12) \quad \lim d_n = e_n = 0.$$

Now we prove $\{y_n\}$ is a P-Cauchy sequence. To show $\{y_n\}$ is P-Cauchy it is enough if we show $\{y_{2n}\}$ is P-Cauchy. Suppose $\{y_{2n}\}$ is not a P-Cauchy sequence then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each positive integer $2k$ there exist positive integers $2m(k)$ and $2n(k)$ such that for some p in P ,

$$(3.1.13) \quad p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) > \epsilon \text{ for } 2m(k) > 2n(k) > 2k$$

and

$$(3.1.14) \quad p(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}) > \epsilon \text{ for } 2m(k) > 2n(k) > 2k$$

for each positive even integer $2k$, let $2m(k)$ be the least positive even integer exceeding $2n(k)$ and satisfying (3.1.13); hence $p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) \leq \epsilon$ then for each even integer $2k$,

$$(3.1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon &< p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) + (d_{2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-1}) \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1.12) and (3.1.15), we obtain $\lim p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) = \epsilon$. By the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) &\leq p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) + d_{2m(k)-1} \\ p(y_{2m(k)-1}) &\leq p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) + e_{2m(k)-1}; \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(3.1.16) \quad |p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) - p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1})| \leq \max \{ d_{2m(k)-1}, e_{2m(k)-1} \}.$$

Similarly By triangle inequality

$$(3.1.17) \quad |p(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})| \leq \max \{ e_{2n(k)} + e_{2m(k)-1}, d_{2n(k)} + d_{2m(k)-1} \}$$

From (3.1.16) and (3.1.17) as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\{p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1})\}$ and $\{p(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1})\}$ converge to ϵ . Similarly if $p(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}) > \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim p(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}) &= \lim p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)+1}) \\ &= \lim p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)}) = \epsilon \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &< p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2n(k)+1}) + p(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq d_{2n(k)} + \max \{ p(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)}), p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2n(k)+1}) \} \\ &= d_{2n(k)} + \max \{ p(Tx_{2n(k)+1}, Sx_{2m(k)}), p(Sx_{2m(k)}, Tx_{2n(k)+1}) \} \\ &\leq d_{2n(k)} + [\emptyset \{ p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)})p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2n(k)+1}), p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)+1})p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}), p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)})p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)+1}), p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2n(k)+1}), p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)}), p(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2n(k)+1})p(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)+1}) \}]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ is upper semi-continuous, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get that $\epsilon \leq \{\phi(0, \epsilon^2, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}^{1/2} < \epsilon$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is P-Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete there exists a point z in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n-1} = z$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n-2} = z$$

Now suppose that S is continuous and the pair (A, S) is compatible of type (I). Hence we have

Letting $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SSx_{2n} = Sz, p(Sz, z) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(ASx_{2n}, z)$

Setting $x = Sx_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ in the inequality (3.1.2).

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{p^2(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), p^2(Tx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n})\} \\ &\leq \phi \{p(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n})p(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \\ &p(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})p(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n}), p(ASx_{2n}, \\ &SSx_{2n})p(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), p(Bx_{2n+1}, \\ &SSx_{2n})p(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), p(Bx_{2n+1}, \\ &SSx_{2n})p(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}), p(Bx_{2n+1}, \\ &Tx_{2n+1})p(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})\}; \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{p^2(Sz, z), p^2(z, Sz)\} \\ &\leq \phi \{p(Sz, Sz)p(z, z), p(Sz, z)p(z, Sz), p(Sz, \\ &Sz)p(Sz, z), p(z, Sz)p(z, z), p(z, Sz)p(Sz, Sz), p(z, z)p(Sz, \\ &z)\}; \\ &\leq \phi(0, p(Sz, z)p(z, Sz), 0, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction $Sz = z$.

Again replacing x by z and y by Tx_{2n+1} in the inequality (3.1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{p^2(Sz, TTx_{2n+1}), p^2(TTx_{2n+1}, Sz)\} \\ &\leq \phi \{p(Az, Sz)p(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}), p(Az, \\ &TTx_{2n+1})p(BTx_{2n+1}, Sz), p(Az, Sz)p(Az, TTx_{2n+1}), \\ &p(BTx_{2n+1}, Sz)p(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1}), p(BTx_{2n+1}, \\ &Sz)p(Az, Sz), p(BTx_{2n+1}, TTx_{2n+1})p(Az, TTx_{2n+1})\}; \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{p^2(z, Tz), p^2(Tz, z)\} \\ &\leq \phi \{p(z, z)p(Tz, Tz), p(z, Tz)p(Tz, z), p(z, \\ &z)p(z, Tz), p(Tz, z)p(Tz, Tz), p(Tz, z)p(z, z), p(Tz, \\ &Tz)p(z, Tz)\}; \\ &\leq \phi(0, p(z, Tz), 0, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction $Tz = z$.

Since $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$, there exist a point $u \in X$ such that $Bu = z = Sz$. So by (3.1.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{p^2(Sz, Tu), p^2(Tu, Sz)\} \\ &\leq \phi \{p(Az, Sz)p(Bu, Tu), p(Az, Tu)p(Bu, \\ &Sz), p(Az, Sz)p(Az, Tu), p(Bu, Sz)p(Bu, \\ &Tu), p(Bu, Sz)p(Az, Sz), p(Bu, Tu)p(Az, \\ &Tu)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{p^2(z, Tu), p^2(Tu, z)\} \\ &\leq \phi \{p(z, z)p(z, Tu), p(z, Tu)p(z, z), p(z, \\ &z)p(z, Tu), p(z, z)p(z, Tu), p(z, z)p(z, z), p(z, Tu)p(z, Tu)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $Tu = z$ since the pair (B, T) is compatible of type (I) and $Tu = Bu = z$ by Therefore z is a common fixed point of the self mappings A, B, S and T .

The uniqueness of the common fixed point of the mappings A, B, S and T can be easily verified by using the inequality (3.1.2) In fact, if $w \in X$ be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T . we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\max \{p^2(Sz, Tw), p^2(Tw, Sz)\} \\ &\leq \phi \{p(Az, Sz)p(Bw, Tw), p(Az, Tw)p(Bw, Sz), p(Az, \\ &Sz)p(Az, Tw), p(Bw, Sz)p(Bw, Tw), p(Bw, Sw)p(Az, Sz), \\ &p(Bw, Tw)p(Az, Tw)\}; \end{aligned}$$

Thus $z = w$.

References

Journal Papers:

- [1] Antony, Jessy and Subrahmanyam, P.V., Quasi-Gauges and Fixed Points, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 24 (1), 1994, 31-42.
- [2] Matkowski, J., Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 62, 1977, No.2, 344-348.
- [3] Pathak, H. K., Chang, S. S. and Cho, Y. J., Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P), *Indian J. Math.* 36, 1994, No. 2, 151-166.
- [4] Rao, I. H. N. and Murty, A. S. R., Common fixed point of weakly compatible mappings in Quasi-gauge spaces, *J. Indian Acad. Math.* 21, 1999, No. 1, 73-87.

[5] Reilly, I.L., Quasi-gauge spaces. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 6, 1973, 481-487.

[6]. S.Sharma, B.Deshpande and R. Pathak, Compatible Mappings of Type- (I) and (II) On Intuitionistic Menger Spaces In Consideration Of Common Fixed Point, *IJPAM* Vol.61, No. 2(2010),193-210

Theses:

[7] Antony, J., Studies in fixed points and Quasi-Gauges, Ph.D. Thesis, I.I.T., Madras(1991).