

Some Hamiltonian Properties and Wiener Index of Graphs

Vaishali Patil¹ · Sonika Sharma²
 Department of F.E.
 Imperial College of engineering and research
 Wagholi Pune

Abstract— The Wiener index of a connected graph is defined as the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices in the graph. L. Yang presented a sufficient condition in terms of the Wiener index for a graph to be traceable. Here we present result based on the Wiener index for a graph to be Hamiltonian or Hamilton-connected in this paper.

Keywords: Hamiltonian, Hamiltonian-connected, Wiener Index.
AMS Subject Classification: 05C12, 05C45.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider only undirected finite graphs without multiple edges or loops. For a graph $G = (V; E)$, we use n and e to denote its order $|V|$ and size $|E|$ respectively. For both vertices u and v in a graph G , Let $d_G(u, v)$ be denote the distance between them. If a cycle C in a graph G contains all the vertices of G then C is called a Hamiltonian cycle of G . If a graph G has a Hamiltonian cycle then graph G is called Hamiltonian graph. A path P in a graph G is called a Hamiltonian path of G if P contains all the vertices of G . A graph G is called traceable if G has a Hamiltonian path. A graph G is called Hamilton-connected if for every pair of vertices in G there is a Hamiltonian path between them. If G and H are both vertex-disjoint graphs, we use $G \vee H$ to denote the join of G and H . We use $C(n, r)$ to denote the number of r -combinations of a set with n elements.

For a connected graph G , its Wiener index [8], denoted by $W(G)$, it is defined as

$$W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_G(u, v)$$

If we use $\widehat{D}_G(v)$ to denote $\sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u, v)$.

then $W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \widehat{D}_G(v)$. It can be easily verified that

$$\widehat{D}_G(v) \geq d(v) + 2(n-1-d(v)).$$

For a nontrivial connected graph G , its Harary index [5, 7] is

$$\text{defined as } \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(u, v)}$$

In [4], Hua and Wang presented a sufficient condition for a graph to be traceable by using Harary index. Li [6] presented sufficient conditions in terms of the Harary index for a graph to be Hamiltonian or Hamilton-connected using some proof ideas in [4]

In [9], Yang presented the following sufficient condition for a graph to be traceable by using Wiener index.

Theorem 1.1. [9]. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$. If $W(G) \leq \frac{(n+5)(n-2)}{2}$ then G is traceable, unless $G = K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)$ or $K_2 \vee (3K_1 \cup K_2)$ or $K_4 \vee 6K_1$.

In this paper, we combine the ideas in [9] and [6] to present the following sufficient conditions in terms of the Wiener index for a graph to be Hamiltonian or Hamilton-connected.

Theorem 1.2. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$. If $W(G) \leq \frac{n^2 + n - 6}{2}$ then G is Hamiltonian Connected unless $G = K_2 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-3})$ or $K_3 \vee (3K_1)$

Theorem 1.3. Let $G = (X, Y; E)$, where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ and $n \geq 2$ be a connected bipartite graph. If $W(G) \leq 3n^2 - 2n + 2$; then G is Hamiltonian, unless $G = P_4$, a path having four vertices and three edges.

Theorem 1.4. Let G be a 2-connected graph of order $n \geq 12$. If $W(G) \leq \frac{n^2 + 3n - 13}{2}$ then G is Hamiltonian, unless $G = K_2 \vee ((2K_1) \cup K_{n-4})$.

Theorem 1.5. Let G be a k -connected graph of order n . If $W(G) \leq \frac{n(n-1) + (k+1)(n-k-1) - 1}{2}$ then G is Hamiltonian.

II Preliminary Results

Corollary 2.1. Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3$ with degree sequence $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$. If

$$d_k \leq k < \frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow d_{n-k} \geq n - k, \text{ then } G \text{ is Hamiltonian.}$$

Corollary 2.2. Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3$ with degree sequence $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$. If

$2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $d_{k-1} \leq k \Rightarrow d_{n-k} \geq n - k + 1$, then G is

Hamilton-connected.

Corollary 2.3. Let $G = (X, Y; E)$ be a bipartite graph such that

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \quad n \geq 2,$$

$$\text{and } d_G(x_1) \leq d_G(x_2) \leq \dots \leq d_G(x_n),$$

$$d_G(y_1) \leq d_G(y_2) \leq \dots \leq d_G(y_n).$$

If $d_G(x_k) \leq k < n$

$$\Rightarrow d_G(y_{n-k}) \geq n - k + 1,$$

then G is Hamiltonian.

Corollary 2.4. [3] Let G be a 3-connected graph of order $n \geq 18$. If $e(G) \geq C(n - 3, 2) + 9$

then G is Hamiltonian or $G = K_3 \vee ((3K_1) \cup K_{n-6})$.

Corollary 2.5. [3] Let G be a k-connected graph of order n. If $e(G) \geq C(n, 2) - (k + 1)(n - k - 1) / 2 + 1$ then G is

Hamiltonian.

Note that Corollary 2.1 is Corollary 3 on Page 208 in [1], Corollary 2:2 is Theorem 12 on Page 218 in [1], Corollary 2.3 is Corollary 5 on Page 210 in [1], and Corollary's 2:4 and 2:5 can be found in [3].

III Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a graph which satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1. Assume that G is not Hamilton-connected. Then, from corollary 2:2, there exists an integer k such that $d_{k-1} \leq k$ and $d_{n-k} \leq n - k$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} W(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \widehat{D}_G(v) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_G(v) + 2(n - 1 - d_G(v))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (2(n - 1 - d_G(v))) \\ &= n(n - 1) - \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) \\ &\geq n(n - 1) - \frac{1}{2} (k(k - 1) + (n - 2k + 1)(n - k) + k(n - 1)) \\ &= \frac{n^2 + n - 6}{2} + \frac{(k - 2)(k - 3)}{2} + (k - 2)(n - 2k). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{such that } W(G) = \frac{n^2 + n - 6}{2}$$

where $k = 2$ or $(k = 3 \text{ and } n = 2k)$,

$$d_1 = \dots = d_{k-1} = k, \quad d_k = \dots = d_{n-k} = n - k - 1$$

$$\text{and } d_{n-k+1} = \dots = d_n = n - 1.$$

If $k = 2$, then $d_1 = 2, d_2 = d_3 = \dots = d_{n-2} = n - 2$

$$\text{and } d_{n-1} = n - 1.$$

Thus $G = K_2 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-3})$,

which is not Hamiltonian.

If $k = 3$ and $n = 2k$, then we have that $n = 6$. Therefore $d_1 =$

$$3, d_2 = 3, d_3 = 3, d_4 = 5, d_5 = 5$$

and $d_6 = 5$. Hence $G = K_3 \vee (3K_1)$, which is not Hamilton-connected.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.2. Suppose that G is not Hamiltonian. Then, from corollary 2.3, there exists an integer $k < n$ such that $d_G(x_k) \leq k$ and $d_G(y_{n-k}) \leq n - k$. Next we find an upper bound for

$\widehat{D}_G(x_1)$. Let $N_G(x_1) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s\}$ be the neighbours of x_1 , where $s = D_G(x_1)$.

Then $d_G(x_1, z_i) = 1$ for each $z_i \in N_G(x_1), d_G(x_1, x_i) \geq 2$ for each x_i with $2 \leq i \leq n$, and $d_G(x_1, y_i) \geq 3$ for each $y_i \in N_G(x_1)$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_G(x_1) &\geq d_G(x_1) + 2(n - 1) + 3(n - d_G(x_1)) \\ &= 5n - 2 - 2d_G(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

we have that for each $2 \leq i \leq n$ and each $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_G(x_i) &\geq d_G(x_i) + 2(n - 1) + 3(n - d_G(x_i)) \\ &= 5n - 2 - 2d_G(x_i); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_G(y_j) &\geq d_G(y_j) + 2(n - 1) + 3(n - d_G(y_j)) \\ &= 5n - 2 - 2d_G(y_j) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \widehat{D}_G(v) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(10n^2 - 4n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (d_G(x_i) + d_G(y_i)) \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} (10n^2 - 4n - 2((k + (n - k))^2 - 2k(n - k) + n^2)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (10n^2 - 4n - 2(k^2 + (n - k)n + (n - k)^2 + kn)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (10n^2 - 4n - 2(k^2 - 2k(n - k))) \\
 &= 3n^2 - 2n + 2k(n - k) + n^2 \\
 &\geq 3n^2 - 2n + 2 * 1 * 1 \\
 &= 3n^2 - 2n + 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

From $W(G) \leq 3n^2 - 2n + 2$, $1 \leq k < n$, we have that

$k = 1$, $n - k = 1$, $d_G(x_1) = 1$, $d_G(x_2) = 2$,
 $d_G(y_1) = 1$ and $d_G(y_2) = 2$. Thus $G = P_4$, this is not Hamiltonian.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.3. Note that if G

$$K_2 \vee ((2K_1) \cup K_{n-4}), \text{ then } W(G) = \frac{n^2 + 3n - 14}{2}.$$

Assume that G is not Hamiltonian and G is not

$K_2 \vee ((2K_1) \cup K_{n-4})$. Then, from corollary 2:4, we have that $e(G) \leq C(n - 2, 2) + 3$. So we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \widehat{D}_G(v) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_G(v) + 2(n - 1 - d_G(v))) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (2(n - 1 - d_G(v))) \\
 &= n(n - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) \\
 &= n(n - 1) - e(G) \\
 &\geq n(n - 1) - C(n - 2, 2) - 3 \\
 &= \frac{n^2 + 3n - 12}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the contradiction to the assumption
 This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1:4. Suppose that G is not Hamiltonian. Then, from corollary 2.5, we have that

$$e(G) \leq C(n, 2) - (k + 1)(n - k - 1) / 2.$$

Therefore we consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \widehat{D}_G(v) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_G(v) + 2(n - 1 - d_G(v))) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (2(n - 1) - d_G(v)) \\
 &= n(n - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) \\
 &= n(n - 1) - e(G) \\
 &\geq n(n - 1) - C(n, 2) + (k + 1)(n - k - 1) / 2 \\
 &= \frac{n(n - 1) + (k + 1)(n - k - 1)}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the contradiction to the assumption
 This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] Graphs and Hyper graphs by **Berge**, Second edition, American Elsevier Publishing Company (1976).
- [2] Graph Theory with Applications by **J. Bondy and S. R. Murty**, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [3] Edge bounds in non-hamiltonian k -connected graphs by **D. Smeltzer and W. Byer**, Discrete Math., 307(2007), 1572 - 1579.
- [4] On Harary index and traceable graphs by **H. Hua and M. Wang**, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 70(2013), 297 - 300.
- [5] Reciprocal distance matrix, related local vertex invariants and topological indices by **O. Ivanciuc, T. S. Balaban and A. T. Balaban**, J. Math. Chem., 12(1993), 309 - 318.
- [6] Index and Some Hamiltonian properties of graphs by **R. Li, Harary**, manuscript, Nov. 2013.
- [7] On the Harary index for the characterization of chemical graphs by **D. Plavsić, S. Nikolic, N. Trinajstić and Z. Mihalic**, J. Math. Chem., 12(1993), 235 - 250.
- [8] Structural determination of paraffin boiling points by **H. Wiener**, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 69(1947), 17 - 20.
- [9] Wiener index and traceable graphs by **L. Yang**, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 88(2013), 380 - 383.

First Author personal profile

Ms. Vaishali R. Patil
M.Sc. B.Ed. MBA
Working as A.P.
ICOER Wagholi
In FE DEPT.

Second Author

Ms. Sonika Sharma
M.Sc. B.Ed.
Working as A.P.
ICOER Wagholi
In FE DEPT.