Defending Eclipse Attack in I2P using Structured Overlay Network

Hasib Vhora  
IT Systems and Network Security  
GTU PG School  
Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

Mr. Girish Khilari  
Senior Consultant,  
CDAC-ACTS  
Pune, India

Abstract - The ‘Darknet’ is a part of cyberspace that is hidden from the ‘surface web’. In Darknet both publishers and visitors are anonymous. There are several ways to access Darknet such as through Freenet, TOR and I2P. Though I2P is a decentralized anonymous network, there are several security risks incorporated with Distributed Hash Table(DHT) that may breach anonymity of I2P. In this paper, we will study basics of I2P, Eclipse attack and Existing defense mechanism of Eclipse attack and the mechanism to prevent the Eclipse Attack.
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1. Introduction

A darknet is a non-private network in which connections are made only between trusted peers which are also known as “friends”. As sharing is anonymous, Darknets are separate from other distributed P2P networks and therefore users can communicate with little fear of governmental or corporate interference.\(^8\) Within the darknet both web surfers and website publishers are entirely anonymous. This anonymity is usually achieved using an Anonymous Networks.\(^9\) I2P provides more anonymity to users. It is basically for the people who care about their security.\(^10\) I2P is used for file sharing and storage, blogging and chatting.

This paper organized as follows: Section II briefly discuss about Invisible Internet Project(I2P). Section III describes Eclipse Attack. Section IV discusses existing defense mechanism of eclipse attack. Section V explains the proposed system. Section VI contains Results & Analysis and the last Section VII draws some conclusions.

2. Invisible Internet Project

Invisible Internet Project is an anonymous overlay network. It is a network within a network. It is intended to protect communication from monitoring by third parties such as ISPs. No network can be completely anonymous. The continued goal of I2P is to form attacks harder to mount. Its anonymity will get stronger as the size of the network increases.\(^8\) I2P exposes a layer which applications can use to send messages securely and anonymously to each other. Communications in I2P are end to end encrypted. The endpoints of all communications have their own cryptographic identifiers.

I2P is self organized network and it is also resilient and scalable packet switched anonymous network layer on which different anonymity or security conscious applications can run. Each of these applications can create their own latency, anonymity and throughput without concern regarding the correct implementation of a free route mixnet, permitting them to mix their activity with the larger anonymity set of users already running on top of I2P. Applications of I2P are anonymous web browsing, web hosting, chat, file sharing, e-mail, blogging and newsgroups.

3. Eclipse Attack

In Eclipse attack, a set of malicious and colluding nodes arranges for a good node in such a way that the good node can peer only with malicious nodes. So the union of malicious nodes together makes a good node fool by writing their addresses into neighbor list of good node. By Eclipse attack, the attacker can control significant part of the network and divide the whole network into different subnetworks such that node in one subnetwork can communicate with node with other subnetwork through malicious node only. Eclipse attack can also be considered as high scale MITM attack.\(^1\)\textsuperscript{2}
Relation between Sybil attack and Eclipse attack

In Sybil attack, a single malicious node possesses large number of identities in the network to control some part of the network. If attacker wants to continue sybil attack into Eclipse attack, the attacker will try to place the malicious nodes in the strategic routing path in such a way that all traffic will pass through the attacker node. However the Eclipse attack is possible even if there is a defense against the sybil attack such as certified node identities.[2]

Impact of Eclipse Attack on the Network

The control pane can be attacked by the attacker by inefficiently rerouting the messages. If attacker decides to drop all the messages then the network will be divided into subnetworks. The attacker can inject the polluted files or request polluted files on behalf of good nodes so that the files will be copied along the way. In the DHT based networks, the neighbour information propagates to other peers also so a small number of malicious node are sufficient to do an Eclipse attack.[2]

4. Existing Defenses

1. Self registration algorithm[3][6]

   It is the procedure for the new node to join in the network. In this procedure the existing nodes called “Registration Nodes” will check for the validity of the new node. It will greatly reduce the possibility of Sybil attack so that the possibility of Eclipse attack based on Sybil attack also decreases.

2. Defining indegree and outdegree bound[3]

   This defence is especially for countermeasure of Eclipse attack. We bound the “indegree” and “outdegree” of the nodes in this defence.

   indegree - number of direct routes coming into a node

   outdegree - number of direct routes going out of a node

Problems identified in the existing defenses

In self registration algorithm the problems are,

1. Overhead on the registration node increases due to the joining requests of the new nodes.
2. No mechanism to authorize the registration node.
3. It causes “False registration” if malicious node involves in the registration process.[6]
4. It cause deanonymization of node because of reverse hash process.

In indegree and outdegree bound the problems are,

1. The malicious node can sometimes poisons the good node and can manipulate the indegree and outdegree bound.[6]
2. Recursive query can affect the performance.

5. Proposed System

I proposed to use structured overlay network along with some additional properties that contains the following characteristics. Components of the system are DHT & Structured Overlay Network.

Phases of System

1. Structured Overlay Network

   The network will be build in a structured manner, so that the advantages will be that the nodes can easily find its neighbors itself, so the malicious nodes can't be the neighbor nodes of many good nodes forcefully by entering its entry to the routing table of other good nodes. This reduces the possibility of getting control of the network by other nodes and so the possibility of Eclipse attack also reduces.

2. Routing table comparison

   Each node contains its own routing table that contains the entries for the routes. The node contains information about their neighbor nodes. Also some entries or routes should be common in the neighbor nodes. These properties are used to determine the node is whether malicious or not. To check the validity of node:

   1. The node queries its neighbour node for its routing table.
   2. Then compares some known routing table entries with it.
   3. If minimum l matches found then the node is not malicious. Here match in terms of the route entry is able to designate at least a “same group” node. l is the limit of minimum match should be found. It can be defined according to the system.
   4. If no match found then the node will search for other good node that can have route to the destination.

   The advantage of this technique over indegree and outdegree bound is every node doesn’t have to recursively query to their neighbor. Instead they query only at a time when they want to communicate. So the burden on nodes will be reduced.

3. Limiting creation of identity

   Here I am proposing a mechanism that helps to limit creation of identities using an authorized certificate. This should be work as follows:

   1. Every instance of I2P should have the certificate and using that certificate only it can be able to create an identity.
   2. Every instance should have ability to create only some limited identity.
   3. There can be some mechanism that tracks the identities created by some instance/certificate.
4. It can be the system like DHT. So if this is done then the possibility of sybil attack will be greatly reduced and so that the possibility of Eclipse attack will also be reduced.

6. Result Analysis & Improvements

I have tested the system using structured overlay network & unstructured overlay network. It gives results as follows.

**Result Analysis**

1. Using 100 Nodes

2. Using 1000 Nodes
7. Conclusion and future work

We can make the defense more powerful by using structured overlay network instead of unstructured network, comparing the routing tables before adding a node into a neighbor set of a node and limiting creation of identity. In future we plan to simulate the proof of concept for container based virtualized cloud. We plan to perform the benchmarking for the performance of HPC application with customized scheduling and compare the benefits over the current system.

From the result we can see that if we are using structured overlay network then Max traversal is only 10% of total nodes, Avg traversal is only 5% of total nodes, reduction in time is noticeable.

Here total number of failed requests due to Eclipse attack are reduced by 1.13X to 1.5X. It can be reduced further. I hope if we will use routing table comparison technique along with this, that can be reduced more. So next goal is to reduce number of failed requests using routing table comparison and also making mechanism for limiting creation of identity by a single node.
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