

Reliability Indices of Distribution system by Fuzzy Method

Mr. C.V Mohan, Dr. Seetharam K, Dr. H.B.Phani Raju,

ABSTRACT—Reliability analysis is a very important factor in power system planning. Each of the components related to reliability of distributed power system related are taken separately. Four reliability indices in literature [1] are System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI), System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI), Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI) [4]. The reliability improvement is measured by these reliability indices that include SAIFI, SAIDI and CAIDI. This paper uses fuzzy value applied for every components related required for calculation of these reliability indices. The three methods of calculation of crisp value of normalized fuzzy values is carried out by defuzzification [9]. Here all components are continuous and taken fuzzy. Fuzzy values of four basic elementary variables (λ , r , u , n) are used for reliability indices are taken from standard fuzzy values. Fuzzy reliability indices values are calculated using standard methods to fuzzy [5] form given taken here.

Index Terms— SAIFI, SAIDI, CAIDI, failure rate, repair duration, fuzzy value

INTRODUCTION

The reliability of a distribution system is usually measured in terms of several indices. They are divided into load point reliability and system point reliability indices [6].

Load point reliability indices are evaluated at each load point of the (distribution) radial feeder system and are evaluated using failure rate(λ) outage time/repair duration (r) and the average annual outage time (U).

Although load point primary indices are fundamentally important, they do not always give a complete representation of the system behaviour and response. Hence Reliability related indices would be evaluated irrespective of size of customers. In order to reflect the severity or significance of a system outage, SAID, SAIF and CAIDI are considered necessary for their detailed analysis.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

This paper discusses calculation of fuzzy value of reliability indices taken from Devanoor station ESC data [3] used for the required analysis.

26 feeder data was taken

8,389 interruption in the feeder /year

4, 28,698 interruption duration in minutes

40,174 customers

All data is taken for fuzzy calculation.

The paper analyses reliability of feeder of distribution system of this data is taken for the required analysis. Here four fuzzy variables considered.

A: Feeder id used as primary key

B: Fuzzy Number of interruption in each

feeder

C: Fuzzy Number of interruption of duration in the feeder

D: Fuzzy Number of customers connected in the feeder

E: Total duration of consumers interruption in year

Here fuzzy is applied for λ_i . Normalized fuzzy applied value of four different sections of feeder failure rate values $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 are given in table 1.

The failure rate at load point i is λ_i (f/yr).

$$\lambda_s = \sum_s \lambda_i \quad (1)$$

Average Outage Duration at load point i is U_s

Here fuzzy is applied to $U_i = U_s$.

Normalised fuzzy applied value of four different values of U_1, U_2, U_3 and U_4 are obtained and given in table 2.

$$U_s = \sum_s \lambda_i * r_i \quad (2)$$

Where r_i is the failure duration at load point i due to a failed element i .

Average outage duration at load point i , is r_i (hr)

$$r_i = U_s \div \lambda_s = \sum \lambda_i * r_i \div \sum_s \lambda_i \quad (3)$$

Fuzzy division is carried out using fuzzy division [10] Resulted fuzzy value is given in table 3.

An overview of commonly used system indices is as follows.

System Average Interruption Frequency Index SAIFI (f/customer .yr)

N_i is the number of customers at load point i

Normalised fuzzy applied value of four different values N_1, N_2, N_3 and N_4 are obtained and given in table 4.

$$\sum N_i \text{ can be calculated.}$$

SAIFI is measured in units of interruptions per customer. It is usually measured over the course of a year. Fuzzy value of SAIFI is obtained using equation 4 to get table 7.

$$SAIFI = \sum \lambda_i \times N_i \div \sum N_i \quad (4)$$

System Average Interruption Duration Index, SAIDI (hr/Customer .yr)

SAIDI is measured in units of time, often minutes or hours.

$$SAIDI = \sum U_i \times N_i \div \sum N_i \quad (5)$$

Customer Average Interruption Duration Index

CAIDI (hr/customer interruption)

$$CAIDI = \sum U_i \times N_i \div \sum \lambda_i \times N_i \quad (6)$$

CAIDI gives an average outage duration that any given customer would experience. It can also be viewed as the average restoration time,

Table 1			
Normalised λ			
λ_1	1.0083	1.4556	2.0028
λ_2	0.9694	1.4306	1.9917
λ_3	0.7833	1.3444	2.0167
λ_4	1.6206	2.4528	3

Table 2			
Normalised $\sum U$			
U1	0.3805	0.6356	0.9855
U2	0.4135	0.6431	0.9688
U3	0.3092	0.9625	0.9625
U4	0.5941	1.0503	1.45

Table 3			
Normalised $\sum r$			
Small	0.25	0.3	0.35
Medium	0.4	0.45	0.5
Large	0.5	0.55	0.6

Table 4			
Normalised $\sum n_i$			
n1	0.2	0.3	0.4
n2	0.3	0.4	0.4
n3	0.35	0.45	0.55
n4	0.95	1.15	1.25

Table 5			
$\sum U \times n_i$			
	0.125105	0.25452	0.434205
	0.14803	0.26127	0.41252
	0.102845	0.22698	0.415
	0.19249	0.41526	0.62

Table 6			
$\sum n_i$			
	0.311655	0.56002	0.861679
	0.34054	0.60002	0.826685
	0.246655	0.62248	0.950019
	0.49546	0.93251	1.25

Table 7	Method1	Method2	Method3
SAIFI 1	2.3167	2.20	2.09
SAIFI 2	1.702	1.65	1.60
SAIFI 3	1.512	1.48	1.45

Table 8	Method1	Method2	Method3
SAIDI 1	1.110	1.045	0.979
SAIDI 2	0.798	0.762	0.725
SAIDI 3	0.624	0.594	0.564

Table 9	Method1	Method2	Method3
CAIDI 1	0.665	0.612	0.560
CAIDI 2	0.135	0.566	0.522
CAIDI 3	0.187	0.629	0.541

RESULTS:

The sum of weighted normalized values for all criteria and from three defuzzification methods is used for SAIFI in the table 7. Three methods of defuzzification methods are applied for SAIDI in table 8.

Three values of defuzzified values of CAIDI are given in table 9. Three cases are taken for each criteria.

CONCLUSION

According to IEEE standard 1366-1998 [2]

- (i) SAIFI value is 1.10 interruptions per Customer and year.
- (ii) SAIDI is usually measured over the course of a year, its value is 1.50 hours
- (iii) CAIDI is measured in units of time and over the course of a year.

Three methods of defuzzification [9] is Applied for all the three cases in respective tables.

APPENDIX

Defuzzification method of Triangular fuzzy number into crisp numbers

Method 1 (a, b, c) = (a+b+c) / 3.0

Method 2 (a, b, c) = (a+2b+c) / 4.0

Method 3 (a, b, c) = (a+4b+c) / 6.0

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to acknowledge Chamandeshwari Electrical Supply Corporation Ltd., Mysore for providing Distribution data for the analysis

REFERENCES

[1].IEEE1547.2http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/sec21/1547.2/1547.2/archives.html. 2005

- [2].IEEE trial -use guide for electric power Distribution reliability indices, IEEE std 1366-1998 vol .pp 1999.
- [3].Warren C.A: Ammon, R; Welch, G , A survey of Distribution reliability measurement practices in the US, Power Delivery, IEEE Transaction on , Vol. 14, no,1, pp,250-257, Jan 1999.
- [4].Chowdhury, A.A: Agarwal, S.K.; Koval , D.O., Reliability modeling of distributed generation in conventional distribution systems planning and analysis ,” Industry applications, IEEE transactions on, vol 39 , no 5, pp 1493 1498 , Sept –Oct 2003
- [5].Guanrong Chen and etal. *Introduction to fuzzy Sets, fuzzy logic and fuzzy control* systems. CRC Press, 2000.
- [6]. Allan R N Billinton etal “A reliability test system for Educational purposes basic distribution System data and results “ Power system , IEEE Transactions on , vol 6, no 2,pp .813-820 ;May 1991.
- [7].Daniel T Larose *Data Mining: methods and Models*. Wiley inter science 2006.
- [8]. John D Musa *Software Reliability Engineering* McGraw Hill 1999
- [9]. Multi criterion analysis in engineering and Management By K.Srinivasa raju PHI Learning Private limited New Delhi 2010
- [10]. Fuzzy logic by Timothy J Ross

1.  Mr. C.V. Mohan has received BE and M.E., Degree both from UVCE. Bangalore University, at Present working as Assoc. Professor at Sir MVIT. Bangalore, per suing PhD from VTU Belgaum, with BMSCE. Research centre, Bangalore. Life Member for ISTE. and Institute of Engineers , area of interest Reliability of power system,

2.  Dr.Seetharam.K graduated from Bangalore University in 1984, M-Tech in 1987 and PhD in 1994 both from IIT Bombay. He has published many Conferences and Journal papers. He was awarded by **SHIKSHA BHARTI PURASKAR**” from achievers foundation of New Delhi. Software engineering, Fuzzy logic and soft computing are his field of interest

3.  Dr. H.B .Phani Raju graduated from Bangalore University, M.E., (Power systems) from UVCE, Bangalore University and PhD in Reliability analysis using Artificial Intelligence from VTU, Belgavi. He has published several research Papers in International Journals and Conferences, and at present he is serving as Principal of ACE College of Engineering, Bangalore.