

## A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR QUASI-CONTRACTIONS OF $D^*$ -METRIC SPACES

By

Dr C Vijender

Dept of Mathematics,

Sreenidhi Institute of Sciece and Technology, Hyderabad.

**ABSTRACT:** The main aim of this paper is to prove the existence of fixed point on  $\lambda$ -generalized contraction of self-mapping functions on  $D^*$ -metric space.

**Key Words:**  $D^*$ -metric space, K-contraction,  $\lambda$ -generalized contraction.

### I. INTRODUCTION:

The notion of Quasi-contraction defined for selfmaps of metric spaces given by Lj.

B. Ciric [3] has been extended to the selfmaps of  $D^*$ -metric spaces as follows:

**(1.1) Definition:** A selfmap  $f$  of a  $D^*$ -metric space  $(X, D^*)$  is called a **Quasi-contraction**, if there is a number  $q$  with  $0 \leq q < 1$  such that

$$D^*(fx, fy, fy) \leq q \cdot \max \{ D^*(x, y, y), D^*(x, fx, fx), D^*(y, fy, fy),$$

**(1.2)**

$$D^*(x, fy, fy), D^*(y, fx, fx) \}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

As already noted for every  $\lambda$ -generalized contraction is a quasi-contraction. However the following example gives a quasi-contraction  $f$  on a  $D^*$ -metric space  $(X, D^*)$  which is not a  $\lambda$ -generalized contraction.

### II. PRELIMINARY NOTES:

**Definition:** Let  $f$  be a selfmap of a  $D^*$ -metric space  $(X, D^*)$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $n \geq 1$  be an integer.

The **orbit of  $x$  under  $f$  of length  $n$** , denoted by  $O_f(x : n)$ , is defined by

$$O_f(x : n) = \{x, fx, f^2x, \dots, f^n x\}$$

We define the diameter  $\delta(A)$  of a set  $A$  in a  $D^*$ -metric space  $(X, D^*)$  by

$$\delta(A) = \sup_{x, y \in A} \{D^*(x, y, y)\}$$

The following Lemmas are use full in proving fixed point theorems of quasi-contractions on  $D^*$ -metric spaces:

**(2.1) Lemma:** Suppose  $f$  is a quasi-contraction with constant  $q$  on a  $D^*$ -metric space  $(X, D^*)$  and  $n$  be a positive integer. Then for each  $x \in X$  and all integers  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$D^*(f^i x, f^j x, f^j x) \leq q \cdot \delta[O_f(x:n)] < \delta[O_f(x:n)].$$

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary,  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $f^{i-1}x, f^{j-1}x, f^i x, f^j x \in O_f(x:n)$  and since  $f$  is a quasi-contraction,

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(f^i x, f^j x, f^j x) &= D^*(ff^{i-1}x, ff^{j-1}x, ff^{j-1}x) \\ &\leq q \cdot \max \left\{ D^*(f^{i-1}x, f^{j-1}x, f^{j-1}x), D^*(f^{i-1}x, f^i x, f^i x), \right. \\ &\quad D^*(f^{j-1}x, f^j x, f^j x), D^*(f^{i-1}x, f^j x, f^j x), \\ &\quad \left. D^*(f^{j-1}x, f^i x, f^i x) \right\} \\ &\leq q \cdot \sup \{D^*(u, v, v) : u, v \in O_f(x:n)\} \\ &= q \cdot \delta[O_f(x:n)] \\ &< \delta[O_f(x:n)] \end{aligned}$$

**(2.2) Lemma:** Suppose  $f$  is a quasi-contraction with constant  $q$  on a  $D^*$ -metric space  $(X, D^*)$  and  $x \in X$ , then for every positive integer  $n$ , there exists positive integer  $k \leq n$ , such that

$$D^*(x, f^k x, f^k x) = \delta[O_f(x : n)]$$

**Proof:** If possible assume that the result is not true. This implies that there is positive integer  $m$  such that for all  $k \leq m$ , we have  $D^*(x, f^k x, f^k x) \neq \delta[O_f(x : m)]$ . Since  $O_f(x : m)$  contains  $x$  and  $f^k x$  for  $k \leq m$ , it follows that

$$D^*(x, f^k x, f^k x) < \delta[O_f(x : m)]$$

Since  $O_f(x : m)$  is closed, there exists  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$D^*(x, f^i x, f^j x) = \delta[O_f(x : m)], \text{ contradicting the Lemma 3.2.1. Therefore}$$

$$D^*(x, f^k x, f^k x) = \delta[O_f(x : n)] \text{ for some } k \leq n.$$

In this section we prove

### III. MAIN RESULT:

**3.1 Theorem:** Suppose  $f$  is a quasi-contraction with constant  $q$  on a  $D^*$ -metric space  $(X, D^*)$  and  $X$  is  $f$ -orbitally complete. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$ . In fact,

$$(3.2) \quad u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x \text{ for any } x \in X$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad D^*(f^n x, u, u) \leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} D^*(x, fx, fx) \text{ for all } x \in X, n \geq 1.$$

**Proof:** Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$ . We claim that  $\{f^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Let  $m, n$  be any positive integers with  $n < m$ . Since  $f$  is quasi-contraction,

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x) &= D^*(ff^{n-1}x, ff^{m-1}x, ff^{m-1}x) \\ &\leq q \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-1}x : m-n+1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(3.4) \quad D^*(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x) \leq q \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-1}x : m-n+1) \right]$$

According to the Lemma 2.2, there exists an integer  $k_1$ , with  $0 \leq k_1 \leq m-n+1$ , such that

$$(3.5) \quad \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-1}x : m-n+1) \right] = D^*(f^{n-1}x, f^{k_1} f^{n-1}x, f^{k_1} f^{n-1}x)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(f^{n-1}x, f^{k_1} f^{n-1}x, f^{k_1} f^{n-1}x) &= D^*(ff^{n-2}x, f^{k_1+1} f^{n-2}x, f^{k_1+1} f^{n-2}x) \\ &\leq q \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-2}x : k_1+1) \right] \\ &\leq q \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-2}x : m-n+2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

(Since  $k_1 + 1 \leq m - n + 2$ )

Thus

$$(3.6) \quad D^*(f^{n-1}x, f^{k_1} f^{n-1}x, f^{k_1} f^{n-1}x) \leq q \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-2}x : m-n+2) \right]$$

From (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x) &\leq q \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-1} x : m-n+1) \right] \\
 &\leq q^2 \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(f^{n-2} x : m-n+2) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this manner, we obtain

$$D^*(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x) \leq q^n \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(x : m) \right]$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$(3.7) \quad D^*(f^n x, f^m x, f^m x) \leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} \cdot \delta \left[ O_f(x : m) \right]$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q^n = 0$ , we get that  $\{f^n x\}$  is Cauchy sequence. Again  $X$

being  $f$ -orbitally complete and  $\{f^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $O_f(x : \infty)$ , there is a point

$$u \in X \text{ such that } u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x.$$

We shall now show that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
D^*(u, fu, fu) &\leq D^*(u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + D^*(f^{n+1}u, fu, fu) \\
&= D^*(u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + D^*(ff^n u, fu, fu) \\
&\leq D^*(u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + q \cdot \max \{ D^*(f^n u, u, u), \\
&\quad D^*(f^n u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u), D^*(u, fu, fu), \\
&\quad D^*(f^n u, fu, fu), D^*(u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) \} \\
&\leq D^*(u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + q \cdot \{ D^*(f^n u, f^{n+1}u, f^{n+1}u) + D^*(f^n u, u, u) \\
&\quad + D^*(u, fu, fu) + D^*(f^{n+1}u, u, u) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = u$ , we get

$D^*(u, fu, fu) = 0$  and hence  $fu = u$ , showing that  $u$  is fixed point of  $f$ .

To prove the uniqueness, let  $u, u'$  be two fixed points of  $f$ . That is,  $fu = u, fu' = u'$

$$\begin{aligned}
D^*(u, u', u') &= D^*(fu, fu', fu') \\
&\leq q \cdot \max \{ D^*(u, u', u'), D^*(u, fu, fu), D^*(u', fu', fu'), \\
&\quad D^*(u, fu', fu'), D^*(u', fu, fu) \} \\
D^*(u, u', u') &\leq q \cdot \max \{ D^*(u, u', u'), D^*(u, fu, fu), D^*(u', fu', fu'), \\
&\quad D^*(u, fu', fu'), D^*(u', fu, fu) \}
\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $D^*(u, u', u') \leq q.D^*(u, u', u')$

Since  $q < 1$ ,  $D^*(u, u', u') = 0$ , which implies that  $u = u'$ .

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.7) we get (3.3). This completes the proof of the theorem.

### References:

- [1]B. Ahmad, M. Ashraf and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed point theorems for expansive mappings in D-metric spaces", Indian J. Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol-30, No.10, pp.1513-1518 (2001).
- [2]Lj. B. Ćirić, "Generalized contractions and fixed point theorem", Publ. Inst. Math. 12 (26) (1971), pp.19-26
- [3]Lj. B. Ćirić, "A generalization of Banach contraction principle", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), pp 267-273
- [4]B. C. Dhage, "Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point", Bulletin of Calcutta Math. Soc. Vol-84, No. 4, pp 329-336(1992)
- [5]B. C. Dhage, "Common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces", Bulletin of Calcutta Math. Soc. Vol-91, No. 6, pp 475-480 (1999)
- [6]B. C. Dhage, A. M. Pathan and B. E. Rhoades, "A general extension principle for fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces", Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., Vol.23, pp.441-448 (2000)
- [7]B. Fisher, "Quasi-contractions on metric spaces", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1979), pp 51-54.